

Lawyers By Win Rate™



WIN RATE: **48%**
HOURLY RATE: **\$350**
AVERAGE
CASE LENGTH: **460 DAYS**

WIN RATE: **72%**
HOURLY RATE: **\$275**
AVERAGE
CASE LENGTH: **390 DAYS**

WIN RATE: **87%**
HOURLY RATE: **\$250**
AVERAGE
CASE LENGTH: **360 DAYS**

WIN RATE: **60%**
HOURLY RATE: **\$380**
AVERAGE
CASE LENGTH: **390 DAYS**

WIN RATE: **32%**
HOURLY RATE: **\$400**
AVERAGE
CASE LENGTH: **420 DAYS**



LEGAL ANALYTICS



CONSULTING



COURT DATA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary	1
Lawyer Performance	2
Open Cases Report	8
Appendix A	20

OPEN CASES REPORT FOR

Country

United States

States

California	Florida	Illinois	New York
Texas			

Counties

Orange	San Francisco	Placer	Los Angeles
Contra Costa	Ventura	Leon	Miami-Dade
Hillsborough	Broward	Duval	Cook

CASES

Matched

85

Insufficient Data*

13

APPEARANCES

WIN RATE

	Your Lawyer	Premonition	Your Lawyer	Premonition
AVERAGE	104	13.74	53.8%	98.59%
POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENT	0%		44.78%	

LAWYER PERFORMANCE

		Your Lawyer	Your Lawyer's Appearances	Your Lawyer's Win Rate	Optimal Lawyer	Appearances	Win Rate
		David E. Chamberlain, Thoms	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		O'Malley, Robert Michael Bar ID: 15577	0	N/A	Moffatt Timothy James	1	100%
		Crep, Erik Peter Bar ID: 755591	0	N/A	Noma Iris Miranda Davies	1	100%
		Crep, Erik Peter Bar ID: 75559	0	N/A	Doreen Elizabeth Lasch	1	100%
		Null	0	N/A	Eric E. William	49	100%
		Foran Glennon Palandech	0	N/A	Torvik Law Lic	4	100%
		Daniel G. Lauer	0	N/A	Boyle Heiss	1	100%
		Null	0	N/A	Hinshaw Culbertson	1	100%
		Jeffrey M. Bell Bar ID: 374539	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		Derek H. Lloyd Bar ID: 527157	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		Erik P. Crep Bar ID: 755591	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		Richard D. Shane Bar ID: 70611	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		John W. McChristian	0	N/A	Kenneth Stuart Harter	1	100%
		William W. Vertes	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		Kathryn M. Oughton	0	N/A	Antoinette Burgess	2	•

LAWYER PERFORMANCE

		Your Lawyer	Your Lawyer's Appearances	Your Lawyer's Win Rate	Optimal Lawyer	Appearances	Win Rate
		Carl Green	1	0%	Roger D Higgins	11	100%
		Fontela Rogelio J	3	0%	Jonathatn Hollingshead	31	100%
		Bair Ronald L	0	N/A	Michael J. Taylor	2	100%
		Morris Michael Patrick	0	N/A	Christine Kirchner	2	100%
		Book William C Jr	1	0%	Andrew Howard Petty	1	100%
		Ramirez John Andrew	0	N/A	Michael J. Taylor	4	100%
		David Justin Rissman	0	N/A	Michael Kraft	1	100%
		Concrete Connections Inc	0	N/A	Wood Smith Henning Berman Lip	23	100%
		David singleton	0	N/A	Wood Smith Henning Berman Lip	23	100%
		Blakeky Scott A	0	N/A	Early Maslach Hartsuyker	36	100%
		Adrienne R Hahn	0	N/A	Carroll Burdick Mcdonough Lip	146	100%
		Carroll Kelly Trotter Franzen Mckenna	723	89.23%	Robert C Rebak	37	100%
		Gordon Rees Lip	22	100%	Pettit Kohn Ingrassia Lutz Pc	98	100%
		Kevin Place	2	50%	Walter A. RODRIGUEZ	141	100%
		Null	0	N/A	Martin Wayne Mertes	3	100%

LAWYER PERFORMANCE

		Your Lawyer	Your Lawyer's Appearances	Your Lawyer's Win Rate	Optimal Lawyer	Appearances	Win Rate
		Dodge Monroy P C	0	N/A	Banilov Associates P C	1	100%
		O'CONNOR, O'CONNOR, HINTZ & DEV	0	N/A	Tarshis Hammerman Esqs	1	100%
		SHEIN & ASSOCIATES PC	0	N/A	James G Bilello Assocs	5	80%
		BAKER GREENSPAN & BERNSTEIN	0	N/A	Robert J Delcol Esq	2	100%
		MICHAEL T. FEELEY, ESQ.	0	N/A	Stephen D Smyk Esq	1	100%
		MARIN GOODMAN, LLP	0	N/A	Bryan M Kulak	5	80%
		TORINO & BERNSTEIN, P.C.	0	N/A	Joseph A Maria	1	100%
		CURAN, AHLERS, FIDEN & NORRIS	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		NICOLETTI, GONSON, SPINNER, LLP	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		SMITH & LAQUERCIA	0	N/A	Wilson Elser Moskowitz	1	100%
		HANNUM FERETIC PRENDERGAST/	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		DODGE & MONROY, PC	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		MILBER MAKRIS PLOUSADIS	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		VIGORITO, BARKER, PORTER, PATTEN	0	N/A	Burke Gordon Conway	2	100%
		Cascone Kluepfel Llp	0	N/A	N/A	•	•

LAWYER PERFORMANCE

		Your Lawyer	Your Lawyer's Appearances	Your Lawyer's Win Rate	Optimal Lawyer	Appearances	Win Rate
		Rosenbaum Taylor P C	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		Tsynguz & associates	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		Smith & Laqero	0	N/A	Wilson Elser Moskowitz	1	100%
		Michael A. Cardo	0	N/A	Novick Edelstein Lubell P C	1	100%
		Ciampa 24 LLC	15	64.29%	Wilson-Elser-Moskowitz- Edelman	17	76.47%
		Borge Kaufman	0	N/A	James R Cullen	1	100%
		Not Listed	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		Borge Kaufman	0	N/A	Fumuso Kelly Devena Snyder Swart	1	100%
		Not Listed	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		Borge Kaufman	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		Borge Kaufman	0	N/A	Lewis Johs Aviles Lip	1	100%
		Morelli & Lassa	0	N/A	Wilson Elser Moskowitz	2	100%
		Borge Kaufman	0	N/A	Morris Duffy Alonso	1	100%
		James Barriere	0	N/A	Dreyer Boyajian	2	100%
		SUGARMAN LAW FIRM, LLP	0	N/A	N/A	•	•

LAWYER PERFORMANCE

		Your Lawyer	Your Lawyer's Appearances	Your Lawyer's Win Rate	Optimal Lawyer	Appearances	Win Rate
		SUGARMAN LAW FIRM, LLP	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		TORINO & BERNSTEIN, P.C.	3	100%	Wilson Elser Assocs	12	100%
		SMITH MAZURE DIRECTOR/ET AL	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		VIGORITO, BARKER, PORTER, PATTEN	0	N/A	Michael a Cardozo-Corp Counsel	2	100%
		CLAUSEN MILLER P.C.	0	N/A	Rabinowitz Galina Esq	1	100%
		LESTER SCHWAB KATZ & DWYER	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		CASCONE & KLUFPFEL, LLP	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		TRAUB LIEBERMAN STRAUS	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		RICHMAN & LEVINE PC	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		DODGE & MONROY, P.C	0	N/A	Burke Gordon Conway,	2	100%
		KEANE & BERNHEIMER PLLC	24	47.83%	Benjamin Purvin	37	100%
		LIFFLANDER & REICH, LLP	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		DONOVAN HATEM LLP	0	N/A	Snitow Kanfer Holtzer Lip,	1	100%
		SMITH & LAQUERCIA, LLP	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
		SMITH & LAQUERCIA, LLP	0	N/A	N/A	•	•

LAWYER PERFORMANCE

	Your Lawyer	Your Lawyer's Appearances	Your Lawyer's Win Rate	Optimal Lawyer	Appearances	Win Rate
	Letofsky-McClain	0	N/A	Woodruff Spradlin Smart Apc	6	83.33%
	Santa Cruz Brownwood Cannon	0	N/A	Gates O'doherty Gonter Guy Llp	8	100%
	Moran Law	0	N/A	Macrae And Edrington	15	100%
	Olivia Miller	0	N/A	Andrea Caro	4	100%
	Michael Sonnenschein	0	N/A	Andrea Caro	4	100%
	Null	0	N/A	Niria Baggett	1	100%
	Roland Hermida	0	N/A	Samantha Johnson	1	100%
	Peter Cress	246	86.7%	Davis Grass Goldstein Housouer	10	100%
	Edlin William Noel	0	N/A	N/A	•	•
	Null	0	N/A	Markham Keevil L	13	100%

APPEARANCES

WIN RATE

	Your Lawyer	Premonition	Your Lawyer	Premonition
AVERAGE	104	13.74	53.81%	98.59%
POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENT	0%		44.78%	



Client Open Cases

Country: United States

State:

Court:

Date Range: 5 years

www.PREMONITION™.ai

The World's Largest Litigation Database

Client Open Cases:

Litigation Outlook

	Red	Yellow	Green
Total Cases : 85	5	77	3
Total Defendant : 85	5	77	3
Total Plaintiff : 0	0	0	0

Client Open Cases

Court	Case Number	Case Name	Outlook	Details
Bexar Court	2019-000077	M&M Broadcasting LLC vs. Bexar Broadcasting Inc. et al.		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 285 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate* 285 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
Broward County	2016-170000	Wynn Sports Center vs. William James, Jr.		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 88 @ 40 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Moffatt Timothy James, 1 @ 100 %
Broward County	2016-161440	Wynn Sports Center vs. John Thomas, Jr.		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 95 @ 25 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Norma Iris Miranda Davies, 1 @ 100 %
Broward County	2016-161440	Wynn Sports Center vs. Florida Health Systems Inc. et al.		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 86 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Lasch Doreen Elizabeth, 1 @ 100 %
Contra Costa County	2016-111000	Perry v. Williams Nevada		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 11,892 @ 1.94 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate* 11,892 @ 1.94 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Williams Eric E, 49 @ 100 %
Cook County Circuit	2019-L-00000	Wynn Sports Center vs. Wynn Sports Center vs. Wynn Consulting Corp.		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 722 @ 43.71 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Torvik Law Llc, 4 @ 100 %

Client Open Cases:

Court	Case Number	Case Name	Outlook	Details
Cook County Circuit	2017-1-00000	1001 North Broadway Chicago, IL 60610-1000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 4 @ 50 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Boyle Heiss, 1 @ 100 %
Cook County Circuit	2017-1-00000	1001 North Broadway Chicago, IL 60610-1000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 28 @ 12.5 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 1 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Hinshaw Culbertson, 1 @ 100 %
Dade County	2017-1-00000	1001 North Broadway Chicago, IL 60610-1000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 32 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
Dade County	2017-1-00000	1001 North Broadway Chicago, IL 60610-1000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 32 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate* 32 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
Dade County	2017-1-00000	1001 North Broadway Chicago, IL 60610-1000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 61 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
Dade County	2017-1-00000	1001 North Broadway Chicago, IL 60610-1000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 19 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate* 19 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
Dallas County Civil	2017-1-00000	1001 North Broadway Chicago, IL 60610-1000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 287 @ 13.04 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Harter Kenneth Stuart, 1 @ 100 %
Duval County	2017-1-00000	1001 North Broadway Chicago, IL 60610-1000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
Duval County	2017-1-00000	1001 North Broadway Chicago, IL 60610-1000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 665 @ 58.26 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Burgess Antoinette, 2 @ 100 %

Client Open Cases:

Court	Case Number	Case Name	Outlook	Details
El Paso Co	2019-00000000-00	2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 840 @ 55.44 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 1 @ 100 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 1 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Roger D Higgins, 11 @ 100 %
Escambia County	2019-00000000-00	2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 64,353 @ 58.84 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 20 @ 36.36 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 3 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Hollingshead Jonathan C, 31 @ 100 %
Escambia County	2019-00000000-00	2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 1,887 @ 83.64 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 4 @ 100 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Button Marisa G, 4 @ 100 %
Harris County District	2019-00000000-00	2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 181 @ 40.79 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Taylor Jay Michael, 2 @ 100 %
Harris County District	2019-00000000-00	2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 344 @ 37.28 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Kirchner Christine, 2 @ 100 %
Harris County District	2019-00000000-00	2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 25 @ 50 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 1 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Petty Andrew Howard, 1 @ 100 %
Harris County District	2019-00000000-00	2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 273 @ 33.33 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Taylor Jay Michael, 4 @ 100 %
Hillsborough Co	2019-00000000-00	2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00 2019-00000000-00		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 20 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Michael Kraft, 1 @ 100 %

Client Open Cases:

Court	Case Number	Case Name	Outlook	Details
Los Angeles Superior	01-21-00000	Smith, John vs. Smith, John 1000 N. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90001		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 1,240 @ 8.51 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Wood Smith Henning Berman Llp, 23 @ 100 %
Los Angeles Superior	01-21-00000	Smith, John vs. Smith, John 1000 N. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90001		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 1,240 @ 8.51 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Wood Smith Henning Berman Llp, 23 @ 100 %
Los Angeles Superior	01-21-00000	Smith, John vs. Smith, John 1000 N. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90001		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 35,229 @ 8.38 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 1 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Early Maslach Hartsuyker, 36 @ 100 %
Los Angeles Superior	01-21-00000	Smith, John vs. Smith, John 1000 N. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90001		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 59,263 @ 24.14 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 1 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Carroll Burdick Mcdonough Llp, 146 @ 100 %
Los Angeles Superior	01-21-00000	Smith, John vs. Smith, John 1000 N. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90001		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 21,443 @ 9.11 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 20 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 723 @ 89.23 % Optimal Lawyer: Reback Robert C, 37 @ 100 %
Los Angeles Superior	01-21-00000	Smith, John vs. Smith, John 1000 N. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90001		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 29,361 @ 4.26 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 2 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 22 @ 100 % Optimal Lawyer: Pettit Kohn Ingrassia Lutz Pc, 98 @ 100 %
Los Angeles Superior	01-21-00000	Smith, John vs. Smith, John 1000 N. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90001		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 219,452 @ 3.31 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 2 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 2 @ 50 % Optimal Lawyer: Rodriguez Walter A, 141 @ 100 %
Monterey Civil Superior	01-21-00000	Smith, John vs. Smith, John 1000 N. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90001		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 387 @ 55.87 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 1 @ 100 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Martin Wayne Mertes, 3 @ 100 %

Client Open Cases:

Court	Case Number	Case Name	Outlook	Details
New York Supreme	17100000000000000000	17100000000000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 12 @ 12.5 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Banilov Associates P C, 1 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	17100000000000000000	17100000000000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 56 @ 53.33 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Tarshis Hammerman Esqs, 1 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	17100000000000000000	17100000000000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 23 @ 36.84 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: James G Bilello Assocs, 5 @ 80 %
New York Supreme	17100000000000000000	17100000000000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 171 @ 55.56 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 1 @ 100 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Robert J Delcol Esq, 2 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	17100000000000000000	17100000000000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 20 @ 55.56 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Stephen D Smyk Esq, 1 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	17100000000000000000	17100000000000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 142 @ 17.02 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 2 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Bryan M Kulak, 5 @ 80 %
New York Supreme	17100000000000000000	17100000000000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 2 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Joseph A Maria, 1 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	17100000000000000000	17100000000000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available

Client Open Cases:

Court	Case Number	Case Name	Outlook	Details
New York Supreme	2017-0000000000	2017-0000000000 vs 2017-0000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
New York Supreme	2017-0000000000	2017-0000000000 vs 2017-0000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 5 @ 16.67 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman, 1 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	2017-0000000000	2017-0000000000 vs 2017-0000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
New York Supreme	2017-0000000000	2017-0000000000 vs 2017-0000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
New York Supreme	2017-0000000000	2017-0000000000 vs 2017-0000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
New York Supreme	2017-0000000000	2017-0000000000 vs 2017-0000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 244 @ 60.67 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Burke Gordon Conway, 2 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	2017-0000000000	2017-0000000000 vs 2017-0000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
New York Supreme	2017-0000000000	2017-0000000000 vs 2017-0000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
New York Supreme	2017-0000000000	2017-0000000000 vs 2017-0000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available

Client Open Cases:

Court	Case Number	Case Name	Outlook	Details
New York Supreme	20170000000000000000	20170000000000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 1 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Wilson Elser Moskowitz, 1 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	20170000000000000000	20170000000000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 1 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Novick Edelstein Lubell P C, 1 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	20170000000000000000	20170000000000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 971 @ 40.6 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 15 @ 64.29 % Optimal Lawyer: Wilson-Elser-Moskowitz-Edelman, 17 @ 76.47 %
New York Supreme	20170000000000000000	20170000000000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 1 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate* 1 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: James R Cullen, 1 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	20170000000000000000	20170000000000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
New York Supreme	20170000000000000000	20170000000000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 3 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Fumuso Kelly Deverna Snyder Swart Farrell Llp, 1 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	20170000000000000000	20170000000000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
New York Supreme	20170000000000000000	20170000000000000000		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available

Client Open Cases:

Court	Judge Name	Case Name	Outlook	Details
New York Supreme	Judge [Name]	[Case Name]		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 35 @ 41.94 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate* 35 @ 41.94 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Lewis Johs Aviles Llp, 1 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	Judge [Name]	[Case Name]		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 8 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 1 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Wilson Elser Moskowitz, 2 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	Judge [Name]	[Case Name]		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 4 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Morris Duffy Alonso, 1 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	Judge [Name]	[Case Name]		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 27 @ 29.63 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Dreyer Boyajian, 2 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	Judge [Name]	[Case Name]		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
New York Supreme	Judge [Name]	[Case Name]		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 34,394 @ 50.33 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 24 @ 83.33 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 3 @ 100 % Optimal Lawyer: Wilson Elser Assocs, 12 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	Judge [Name]	[Case Name]		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
New York Supreme	Judge [Name]	[Case Name]		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 17 @ 43.75 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Michael A Cardozo-Corp Counsel, 2 @ 100 %

Client Open Cases:

Court	Case Number	Case Name	Outlook	Details
New York Supreme	20200100000	JAMES JAMES vs. JAMES JAMES		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 11 @ 9.09 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Rabinowitz Galina Esq, 1 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	20200100000	JAMES JAMES vs. JAMES JAMES		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
New York Supreme	20200100000	JAMES JAMES vs. JAMES JAMES		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
New York Supreme	20200100000	JAMES JAMES vs. JAMES JAMES		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
New York Supreme	20200100000	JAMES JAMES vs. JAMES JAMES		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
New York Supreme	20200100000	JAMES JAMES vs. JAMES JAMES		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 244 @ 60.67 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Burke Gordon Conway, 2 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	20200100000	JAMES JAMES vs. JAMES JAMES		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 109,449 @ 60.36 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate* 109,449 @ 60.36 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 24 @ 47.83 % Optimal Lawyer: Benjamin Purvin, 37 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	20200100000	JAMES JAMES vs. JAMES JAMES		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available

Client Open Cases:

Court	Case Number	Case Name	Outlook	Details
New York Supreme	1807140-2019	People of the State of New York v. [REDACTED]		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 3 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Snitow Kanfer Holtzer Llp, 1 @ 100 %
New York Supreme	1807140-2019	People of the State of New York v. [REDACTED]		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
New York Supreme	1807140-2019	People of the State of New York v. [REDACTED]		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
Orange Co	1807140-2019	The State of New York v. [REDACTED]		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 10,086 @ 37.81 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Woodruff Spradlin Smart Apc, 6 @ 83.33 %
Orange Co	1807140-2019	The State of New York v. [REDACTED]		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 3,650 @ 37.05 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate* 3,650 @ 37.05 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Gates O'doherty Gonter Guy Llp, 8 @ 100 %
Orange Co	1807140-2019	The State of New York v. [REDACTED]		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 1,407 @ 15.91 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate* 1,407 @ 15.91 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Macrae And Edrington, 15 @ 100 %
Orange County	1807140-2019	The State of New York v. [REDACTED]		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 138 @ 9.23 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Andrea Caro, 4 @ 100 %
Orange County	1807140-2019	The State of New York v. [REDACTED]		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 138 @ 9.23 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Andrea Caro, 4 @ 100 %

Client Open Cases:

Court	Case Number	Case Name	Outlook	Details
Orange County	19-11111111-1	19-11111111-1		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 67 @ 6.12 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Niria Baggett, 1 @ 100 %
Orange County	19-11111111-2	19-11111111-2		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 1 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Samantha Johnson, 1 @ 100 %
Riverside Co	19-11111111-3	19-11111111-3		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 716 @ 15.83 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 2 @ 50 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 246 @ 86.7 % Optimal Lawyer: Davis Grass Goldstein Housouer, 10 @ 100 %
San Francisco Co	19-11111111-4	19-11111111-4		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 0 @ 0 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Not Available
Ventura Co	19-11111111-5	19-11111111-5		Judge Win Rate For Opponent 5,415 @ 21.27 % Opposing Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Your Lawyer Win Rate 0 @ 0 % Optimal Lawyer: Markham Keevil L, 13 @ 100 %

Showing 1 - 85 of 85

Sorted By: Court, Ascending Order

* Showing Average.

APPENDIX A

The following lists of cases were excluded from the Open Cases report. These cases were not positively matched or the data was insufficient. Additional reasons for excluding cases from the Open Cases report are outlined below.

1. *County of Placer v. State of Alaska*, 13-CV-000480 CA Placer Super. CT
Insufficient data in this court. More data for proper analysis is needed.
2. *County of Placer v. State of Alaska*, 13-CV-000480 CA Placer Super. CT
Orange Super. CT. Orange County Superior has a significant issue with captcha for electronic search. Court has been notified.
3. *County of Placer v. State of Alaska*, 13-CV-000480 CA Placer Super. CT
Insufficient data in this court. More data for proper analysis is needed.
4. *County of Placer v. State of Alaska*, 13-CV-000480 CA Placer Super. CT
Santa Rosa Co, FL., Insufficient data in this court. More data for proper analysis is needed.
5. *County of Placer v. State of Alaska*, 13-CV-000480 CA Placer Super. CT
This court will need to be configured and updated for proper analysis.
6. *County of Placer v. State of Alaska*, 13-CV-000480 CA Placer Super. CT
Case could not be found. Search included case number and case name with no results.
7. *County of Placer v. State of Alaska*, 13-CV-000480 CA Placer Super. CT
Kings, NY. New York State Unified Court System. Case could not be found. Search included case number and case name with no results.
8. *County of Placer v. State of Alaska*, 13-CV-000480 CA Placer Super. CT
Kings, NY. New York State Unified Court System..

APPENDIX A

Case could not be found. Search included case number and case name with no results.

9. *John De Luca vs. John De Luca, M. Specialty Construction, Inc. (1480400019)*
Kings, NY. New York State Unified Court System. Case could not be found. Search included case number and case name with no results.
10. *Ben Gao Corp. vs. Joseph Roberts and Family (1612000000)* Bronx, NY. New York State Unified Court System. Insufficient data in this court. More data for proper analysis is needed.
11. *David Benjamin Peter Giamatti vs. David Giamatti and Peter Giamatti (3000966/2016)* New York, NY. New York State Unified Court System. Case not found, case #300966/2016 is a divorce case with different parties listed.
12. *Andrew Jones and Nathan Jones, Individually and As Next Friend of Bradley Ryan Jones v. Wiggins Partners, Lp, Wiggins Partners, Wiggins Partners, Wiggins LP, LLC and Capital Tuley Commercial Real Estate Services, Inc. (1602000000)* Tarrant Co, TX., Case could not be found using search criteria "No cases matched your search criteria."
13. *Jimmy Nichols v. PPT Trucking, Inc. and James Oliver (1617000000)* Tarrant Co, TX, Case could not be found using search criteria "No cases matched your search criteria."




Client Report

Premonition's Client Report contains in-depth analytics on a company's litigation history and attorney performance. Law Firms use this report to improve Business Development through better Client Intelligence. It is used by General Counsel to review their Panel Counsel.

- Litigation History
- Case Types
- Legal Representation
- Attorney/Firm performance
- Case Duration
(overall, case type, judge, client)

Useful for: Law Firms, General Counsel, Claims Managers



Case Type Report

Case Type	Cases	Closed Cases	Win Count	Loss Count	Average Case Duration	Outcome
106 - Negl Prem Liab Com (\$50,001 - \$249,999)	1	0	0	0	0	0%
111 - Negl Prem Liab (\$50,001 - \$249,999)	14	0	0	0	0	0%
112 - Negl Prem Liab (\$50,001 - \$249,999)	206	21	2	18	78	10%
113 - Negl Prem Liab (\$250,000 Or More)	128	6	1	0	82	11%

Judge Report

Judge	Cases	Closed Cases	Win Count	Loss Count	Average Case Duration	Outcome
106 - Negl Prem Liab Com (\$50,001 - \$249,999)	1	0	0	0	0	0%
111 - Negl Prem Liab (\$50,001 - \$249,999)	14	0	0	0	0	0%
112 - Negl Prem Liab (\$50,001 - \$249,999)	206	21	2	18	78	10%
113 - Negl Prem Liab (\$250,000 Or More)	128	6	1	0	82	11%

Top Claims List

Client	Cases	Closed Cases	Win Count	Loss Count	Average Case Duration	Outcome
106 - Negl Prem Liab Com (\$50,001 - \$249,999)	1	0	0	0	0	0%
111 - Negl Prem Liab (\$50,001 - \$249,999)	14	0	0	0	0	0%
112 - Negl Prem Liab (\$50,001 - \$249,999)	206	21	2	18	78	10%
113 - Negl Prem Liab (\$250,000 Or More)	128	6	1	0	82	11%

Attorney Report

Name	Email	Phone	Fax	Title	Firm
Jonathan M. Hirsch	j.hirsch@premonition.com	(408) 255-1000	(408) 255-1001	President	Premonition Analytics

Litigation History

Overall

Cases	Closed Cases	Win Count	Loss Count	Average Case Duration	Outcome
2124	244	55	155	1408	25%

Plaintiff

Cases	Closed Cases	Win Count	Loss Count	Average Case Duration	Outcome
2124	244	55	155	1408	25%



Attorney Report

Premonition's Attorney Report contains in-depth analytics on an attorney's performance and litigation experience. Law Firms use this report to gather intelligence on opposing counsel and identify lateral recruiting opportunities. General Counsel use this report to review the performance of their external counsel.

- Litigation Experience
- Case Types
- Client Representation
- Performance (overall, case type, judge, client)
- Case Duration (overall, case type, judge, client)

Useful for: Law Firms, General Counsel, Claims Managers, Litigation Finance, Pre-Settlement Funding

PREMONITION™

www.premonition.ai | e-mail: info@premonition.ai

The World's Largest Litigation Database

Analytics



Judge Report

Premonition's Judge Report contains in-depth analytics on the outcomes of attorneys in front of a judge. It is used by General Counsel to identify the most effective and efficient attorneys in front of a judge. Law Firms use this report for gathering judicial intelligence, hiring co-counsel and identifying the strength of opposing counsel.

- Attorney Performance by case type
- Case Durations by case type and attorney
- Plaintiff/Defendant outcomes by case type
- Attorney Experience in front of a judge
- Performance Outliers

Useful for: Law Firms, General Counsel, Claims Managers, Litigation Finance



Law Firm Report

Premonition's Law Firm Report provides in-depth analysis of a Law Firm's performance and efficiency. General Counsel use this during their Panel Counsel Review process to identify top-performing firms. Law Firm's use this report to track their litigation experience/performance and to improve their business development by pitching to their strengths.

- Litigation History and Experience
- Client Representation
- Overall Firm Performance
- Specific Attorney Performance
- Average Case Durations (overall, case type, client)
- Case Types
- Benchmarking to Competitors

Useful for: Law Firms, General Counsel, Claims Managers



Court Report

Premonition's Court Report reveals the quantity of cases and performance of all the lawyers in a particular court. This report is used to identify top performing attorneys or identify potential venues in which to file a case.

- Attorney Experience (Number of Cases in a Court)
- Attorney Performance by Case Type
- Benchmarking to peer performance

Useful for: Law Firms, General Counsel, Claims Managers, Litigation Finance



Top Litigants Report

Premonition's Top Litigants Report ranks companies by volume of litigation in a court, state, or country. Law Firms use this report to identify potential hidden clients. Litigation Finance firms use this to identify potential leads.

Useful for: Law Firms, General Counsel, Claims Managers, Litigation Finance

PREMONITION™

www.premonition.ai | e-mail: info@premonition.ai

The World's Largest Litigation Database