

Law's Secrets Revealed

A Big Data Look at Litigation



Premonition is an Artificial Intelligence System that mines Big Data to find which Lawyers usually win before which Judges.

table of **CONTENTS**

Moneyball For Law?	3
Do Big Law Firms Win More Than Small?	5
How Do Big Firms Compare?	6
Should You Choose by Attorney or by Firm?.....	7
An Unfair Advantage.....	9
Can Law Firms Recognize Great Barristers?.....	10
Are Partners Really Better Than Associates?.....	12
How Long Does a Lawsuit Last?	13
Men Vs. Women.....	15
Do You Get What You Pay for in Law?.....	16
The Bottom Line?.....	16

"Law has historically been a credence good. This means the market relies on elite credentials and firm reputation as a proxy for skill."

**Professor William Henderson
Maurer School of Law,
Indiana University
Bloomington**

Moneyball for Law?

The Brad Pitt film, *Moneyball*, portrays Billy Beane baseball team manager of the Oakland A's struggling against accepted dogma in player selection. Beane's insight was to use already available player data to pick athletes. This flew in the face of accepted conventional wisdom in the game. Talent scouts prided themselves on their "eye" for talent, choosing drafts by gut feel, pitching and batting style, even factors such as their demeanor off the field and the attractiveness of their wives and girlfriends. Despite a rocky start, strongly opposed by the A's talent scouts, Beane put together a team that went down in baseball history. Derided, The Oakland A's put together a roster of players believed to be lacking in talent, past their prime or unusable. Not only did the team prove itself by results, they were assembled with one of the lowest budgets in the sport. Win rates were not correlated with salary rates.

Lawyer selection is much like the bad old days of baseball player selection, contends Premonition L.L.C., a Florida based Artificial Intelligence company targeting the legal industry. Premonition claims to know which Attorneys usually win before which Judges. People pick attorneys based on recommendations from friends, online reviews, because they're friends, friends of friends, went to a particular law school, have nice offices, work for a well-known firm, saw an advertisement, their name was first in the phone book, etc. Many publications run "Top Lawyer" lists, people who are recognized by their peers as being "the best".

Premonition analyzed the Win Rates of these Attorneys, it turned out most were average. The only way that they stood out was a disproportionate number of appealed and re-opened cases, i.e. they were good at dragging out litigation. They discovered that even the Law firms themselves were poor at picking Litigators. In a study of the United Kingdom Court of Appeals, it found a slight negative correlation of -0.1 between Win Rates and re-hiring rates, i.e. a Barrister 20% better than their peers was actually 2% less likely to be re-hired!

If generally accepted methods for choosing a Lawyer don't work, what does? "Win Rate", says Toby Unwin, inventor of the Premonition system. "The only item that affects the likely outcome of a case is the Attorney's prior Win Rate, preferably for that case type before that Judge." Wins and losses have traditionally not been tracked in law, perhaps being seen by the profession as a bit tawdry. "Attorneys themselves don't know their own statistics, nor do the firms they work for. The only thing that gets tracked in law is hours and fees" Unwin explains. Premonition was formed in March 2014 and expected to find a fertile market for their services amongst the big law firms. They found little appetite and much opposition. "In retrospect it was a stupid idea" confides Premonition C.E.O and co-Founder, Guy Kurlandski. "It was like asking cows what they thought of McDonalds. You don't ask the candle makers what they think about light bulbs."

Despite being public record, court data is surprisingly inaccessible in bulk, nor is there a unified system to access it outside of the Federal Courts. Clerks of Courts refused Premonition requests for case data. Resolved to go about it the hard way, Unwin, who was suspended from school for computer hacking at the tender age of 13, wrote a web crawler to mine Courthouse web sites for the data, read it, then analyze it in a database. Unwin founded NetSearch, one of the most profitable Internet businesses in Europe in 1998, selling it a year later at a \$160M valuation. Additional courts would be painstakingly re-coded by hand, each new source taking a week or more. "It was the wrong approach. We had thousands of courts to cover and it just wouldn't scale. We scrapped it and I developed an Artificial Intelligence based system that could actually learn how to crawl a court house. Now each new one takes us an hour" he says.

Win Rates

Having downloaded hundreds of thousands of cases, Unwin set about the painstaking task of teaching the Artificial Intelligence system to read the cases. Like a child, the system learned slowly at first, but rapidly gained in speed and accuracy. The system downloads new cases in real time, as they are filed. It analyzes them, then can create complex tables, mining overall Win Rates, differences from Judge to Judge looking for specialists in varying case types. Most of all, it looks for outliers, Litigators with long strings of unbroken wins before particular Judges. "Every Judge has their favorites" confides Kurlandski with a twinkle in his eye.

Premonition in Action

Kurlandski recounted with glee the story of a paralysis case on the verge of trial for a very nervous insurance client. Premonition downloaded 15,000 cases, 3 year's worth of civil actions in the Reno, Nevada Courthouse. The system found an Attorney with 22 straight wins before the Judge - the next person down was 7. A bit of checking revealed the Lawyer was actually a criminal defense specialist who operated out of a strip mall and devoted most of his Web site to his "advocacy for the lesbian, gay, bi-sexual and transgender community", the firm discovered. The Lawyer had little reason to be before a civil Judge, let alone so often and with such a stratospheric Win Rate. Premonition advised he be hired as co-Counsel. The case settled within weeks. The firm claims such outliers are far from rare. Their web site, www.premonition.ai, shows an example of an Attorney with 32 straight wins before a Judge in Orange County, Florida. The Attorney, an Associate at a major national law firm, has a Win Rate outstripping any of the Senior Partners at his office.

Quote

"I do not have to tell you the significant and revolutionary role Premonition.ai is playing in altering the landscape of the profession."



Professor Michael
Hunter Schwartz,

Dean and Professor of
Law, University of
Arkansas at Little
Rock

Fees

"In law you don't always get what you pay for", Kurlandski claims. The firm found no correlation between Win Rate and billing rate. While there are undoubtedly highly paid top performers amongst the big firms, the majority of the most successful Counsel in each Court are found amongst the small firms and solo practitioners that Premonition refers to as "Strip mall superstars." "The legal marketplace is broken. It has significant opportunities for "Perception Reality Arbitrage" and Premonition is the only company to know each Litigator's true worth" he continues. Law has long been seen as a Giffen Good, an economics term whereby the more a consumer pays for something, the better it is perceived to be.

Case duration

Win Rates aren't the only metric Premonition tracks. "Many Attorneys are notorious clock runners. They've got away with it for a long time, because there's been no transparency", Unwin says. "Clients will often short sightedly focus on an Attorneys' hourly rate. You need to look at your total spend: Hourly rate x length of case + losses." Over a portfolio of cases, these savings can be significant. Premonition can fine tune individual litigators cherry picked for each case, or pick a better panel for the firms not willing to take the plunge into selecting new litigators every time. The firm claims Attorney selection has a 30.70% effect on case success. "There's the facts, the law and the people", says Kurlandski.

Do Big Law Firms Win More Than Small?

Premonition recently completed a study of the major law firms. Targeting the Miami 11th Circuit Court, one of the busiest in the nation, they looked at data from 2010-October 2014, over 100,000 civil cases. Restricting the survey to just the 20 largest law firms by Attorney count in Florida then were able to extrapolate the first ever ranking of Big Law by their results. The insights were quite startling:

INSIGHTS

6.98%

ADVANTAGE OF BIG LAW
FIRMS OVER SMALL

How Do Big Firms Compare?

Firm success rates ranged from 93.51% for a specialist insurance defense firm to 0% for a firm reputed to be “legal surgeons”, they had only 4 cases in the system for that court during the period analyzed, only 2 had been concluded and they lost them both. A couple of Statewide firms posted respectable 64.67% and 64.38% Win Rates, but 3 National firms scraped in at 37.93%, 33.93% and 27.78%. Why do General Counsel hire White Shoe firms that lose 3 out of 4 times they go to court? “They don’t know. No one keeps score”, explains Kurlandski..



Opinion


"It's often a lottery who you get assigned to in these firms."

Guy Kurlandski
CEO & Co-founder
Premonition

Should You Choose By Attorney Or By Firm?

Success rates are not consistent within firms; they vary from office to office. Unwin showed a Statewide firm that did a lot of litigation in Miami with a good 64.67% win rate but did relatively little in Orlando at a win rate that averaged 50%. Premonition showed the stats for the busiest big firm in Miami, with a 58.31% average Win Rate for that office. The bulk of the data came from just one Litigator who was responsible for 35.42% of their cases, handling 243 for that period. His Win Rate was 62.14%, and it strongly affected the average for the firm. There were 2 100% Attorneys with 4 and 5 straight wins, but worryingly also one with 0% and 17 straight losses who's chart mark nestled next to a 3.45%.

Lawyer with 28 losses out of 29 appearances. "It's often a lottery who you get assigned to in these firms", opines Kurlandski. "If a General Counsels feel they have such a strong relationship with their existing firm, they could never leave, they should, at least, pick a results based panel from within that firm, not just accept the first person offered, or insist blindly on a Senior Partner in the hope they are hiring quality."



There are few Top 20 performers among the Big Firms

There is usually one representative from the top firms before each Judge, occasionally 2. However, many Judges have no Big Law top performers before them. It became very clear that the majority of top performers were from small firms or solo practitioners. Should companies just hire from the small firms? "No. You see that just because there are more solo and small firm Attorneys than those employed at the big firms. Win Rate isn't highly correlated with firm size", Unwin says.

Antonio Arzola CA21  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 10 10 100.00 2 7 7 100.00 3 7 7 100.00 4 5 5 100.00 5 5 5 100.00 6 5 5 100.00 7 5 5 100.00 8 5 5 100.00 9 5 5 100.00 10 5 5 100.00 11 5 5 100.00 12 5 5 100.00 13 5 5 100.00 14 5 5 100.00 15 5 5 100.00 16 5 5 100.00 17 5 5 100.00 18 5 5 100.00 19 5 5 100.00 20 5 5 100.00	Jerald Bagley CA30  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 9 9 100.00 2 6 6 100.00 3 5 5 100.00 4 5 5 100.00 5 5 5 100.00 6 5 5 100.00 7 5 5 100.00 8 5 5 100.00 9 5 5 100.00 10 5 5 100.00 11 5 5 100.00 12 5 5 100.00 13 5 5 100.00 14 5 5 100.00 15 5 5 100.00 16 5 5 100.00 17 5 5 100.00 18 5 5 100.00 19 5 5 100.00 20 5 5 100.00	Stanford Galt CA31  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 7 7 100.00 2 6 6 100.00 3 5 5 100.00 4 5 5 100.00 5 5 5 100.00 6 5 5 100.00 7 5 5 100.00 8 5 5 100.00 9 5 5 100.00 10 5 5 100.00 11 5 5 100.00 12 5 5 100.00 13 5 5 100.00 14 5 5 100.00 15 5 5 100.00 16 5 5 100.00 17 5 5 100.00 18 5 5 100.00 19 5 5 100.00 20 5 5 100.00	Beatrice Buttko CA38  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 5 4 1 80.00 2 5 4 1 80.00 3 5 4 1 80.00 4 5 4 1 80.00 5 5 4 1 80.00 6 5 4 1 80.00 7 5 4 1 80.00 8 5 4 1 80.00 9 5 4 1 80.00 10 5 4 1 80.00 11 5 4 1 80.00 12 5 4 1 80.00 13 5 4 1 80.00 14 5 4 1 80.00 15 5 4 1 80.00 16 5 4 1 80.00 17 5 4 1 80.00 18 5 4 1 80.00 19 5 4 1 80.00 20 5 4 1 80.00	Giselle Cardone Ely CA39  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 6 6 100.00 2 6 6 100.00 3 6 6 100.00 4 6 6 100.00 5 6 6 100.00 6 6 6 100.00 7 6 6 100.00 8 6 6 100.00 9 6 6 100.00 10 6 6 100.00 11 6 6 100.00 12 6 6 100.00 13 6 6 100.00 14 6 6 100.00 15 6 6 100.00 16 6 6 100.00 17 6 6 100.00 18 6 6 100.00 19 6 6 100.00 20 6 6 100.00	Jorge E. Cueto CA25  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 7 7 100.00 2 7 7 100.00 3 7 7 100.00 4 7 7 100.00 5 7 7 100.00 6 7 7 100.00 7 7 7 100.00 8 7 7 100.00 9 7 7 100.00 10 7 7 100.00 11 7 7 100.00 12 7 7 100.00 13 7 7 100.00 14 7 7 100.00 15 7 7 100.00 16 7 7 100.00 17 7 7 100.00 18 7 7 100.00 19 7 7 100.00 20 7 7 100.00	Abby Dymov CA31  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 6 6 100.00 2 6 6 100.00 3 6 6 100.00 4 6 6 100.00 5 6 6 100.00 6 6 6 100.00 7 6 6 100.00 8 6 6 100.00 9 6 6 100.00 10 6 6 100.00 11 6 6 100.00 12 6 6 100.00 13 6 6 100.00 14 6 6 100.00 15 6 6 100.00 16 6 6 100.00 17 6 6 100.00 18 6 6 100.00 19 6 6 100.00 20 6 6 100.00
Ronald Drenick CA20  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 8 8 100.00 2 8 8 100.00 3 8 8 100.00 4 8 8 100.00 5 8 8 100.00 6 8 8 100.00 7 8 8 100.00 8 8 8 100.00 9 8 8 100.00 10 8 8 100.00 11 8 8 100.00 12 8 8 100.00 13 8 8 100.00 14 8 8 100.00 15 8 8 100.00 16 8 8 100.00 17 8 8 100.00 18 8 8 100.00 19 8 8 100.00 20 8 8 100.00	Spencer Ely CA22  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 10 10 100.00 2 8 8 100.00 3 8 8 100.00 4 8 8 100.00 5 8 8 100.00 6 8 8 100.00 7 8 8 100.00 8 8 8 100.00 9 8 8 100.00 10 8 8 100.00 11 8 8 100.00 12 8 8 100.00 13 8 8 100.00 14 8 8 100.00 15 8 8 100.00 16 8 8 100.00 17 8 8 100.00 18 8 8 100.00 19 8 8 100.00 20 8 8 100.00	Jacqueline Hogan Scott CA39  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 5 4 1 80.00 2 5 4 1 80.00 3 5 4 1 80.00 4 5 4 1 80.00 5 5 4 1 80.00 6 5 4 1 80.00 7 5 4 1 80.00 8 5 4 1 80.00 9 5 4 1 80.00 10 5 4 1 80.00 11 5 4 1 80.00 12 5 4 1 80.00 13 5 4 1 80.00 14 5 4 1 80.00 15 5 4 1 80.00 16 5 4 1 80.00 17 5 4 1 80.00 18 5 4 1 80.00 19 5 4 1 80.00 20 5 4 1 80.00	Norma S. Lindsey CA30  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 7 7 100.00 2 6 6 100.00 3 6 6 100.00 4 6 6 100.00 5 6 6 100.00 6 6 6 100.00 7 6 6 100.00 8 6 6 100.00 9 6 6 100.00 10 6 6 100.00 11 6 6 100.00 12 6 6 100.00 13 6 6 100.00 14 6 6 100.00 15 6 6 100.00 16 6 6 100.00 17 6 6 100.00 18 6 6 100.00 19 6 6 100.00 20 6 6 100.00	Peter R. Lopez CA35  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 8 8 100.00 2 8 8 100.00 3 8 8 100.00 4 8 8 100.00 5 8 8 100.00 6 8 8 100.00 7 8 8 100.00 8 8 8 100.00 9 8 8 100.00 10 8 8 100.00 11 8 8 100.00 12 8 8 100.00 13 8 8 100.00 14 8 8 100.00 15 8 8 100.00 16 8 8 100.00 17 8 8 100.00 18 8 8 100.00 19 8 8 100.00 20 8 8 100.00	David C. Miller CA38  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 14 14 100.00 2 8 8 100.00 3 7 7 100.00 4 6 6 100.00 5 6 6 100.00 6 6 6 100.00 7 6 6 100.00 8 6 6 100.00 9 6 6 100.00 10 6 6 100.00 11 6 6 100.00 12 6 6 100.00 13 6 6 100.00 14 6 6 100.00 15 6 6 100.00 16 6 6 100.00 17 6 6 100.00 18 6 6 100.00 19 6 6 100.00 20 6 6 100.00	Jose M. Rodriguez CA15  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 8 8 100.00 2 8 8 100.00 3 8 8 100.00 4 8 8 100.00 5 8 8 100.00 6 8 8 100.00 7 8 8 100.00 8 8 8 100.00 9 8 8 100.00 10 8 8 100.00 11 8 8 100.00 12 8 8 100.00 13 8 8 100.00 14 8 8 100.00 15 8 8 100.00 16 8 8 100.00 17 8 8 100.00 18 8 8 100.00 19 8 8 100.00 20 8 8 100.00
Rosa L. Rodriguez CA27  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 8 8 100.00 2 8 8 100.00 3 8 8 100.00 4 8 8 100.00 5 8 8 100.00 6 8 8 100.00 7 8 8 100.00 8 8 8 100.00 9 8 8 100.00 10 8 8 100.00 11 8 8 100.00 12 8 8 100.00 13 8 8 100.00 14 8 8 100.00 15 8 8 100.00 16 8 8 100.00 17 8 8 100.00 18 8 8 100.00 19 8 8 100.00 20 8 8 100.00	Marc Schumacher CA35  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 8 8 100.00 2 7 7 100.00 3 6 6 100.00 4 6 6 100.00 5 6 6 100.00 6 6 6 100.00 7 6 6 100.00 8 6 6 100.00 9 6 6 100.00 10 6 6 100.00 11 6 6 100.00 12 6 6 100.00 13 6 6 100.00 14 6 6 100.00 15 6 6 100.00 16 6 6 100.00 17 6 6 100.00 18 6 6 100.00 19 6 6 100.00 20 6 6 100.00	Victoria S. Sigler CA32  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 5 5 100.00 2 5 5 100.00 3 5 5 100.00 4 5 5 100.00 5 5 5 100.00 6 5 5 100.00 7 5 5 100.00 8 5 5 100.00 9 5 5 100.00 10 5 5 100.00 11 5 5 100.00 12 5 5 100.00 13 5 5 100.00 14 5 5 100.00 15 5 5 100.00 16 5 5 100.00 17 5 5 100.00 18 5 5 100.00 19 5 5 100.00 20 5 5 100.00	John W. Thornton CA30  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 9 9 100.00 2 8 8 100.00 3 8 8 100.00 4 8 8 100.00 5 8 8 100.00 6 8 8 100.00 7 8 8 100.00 8 8 8 100.00 9 8 8 100.00 10 8 8 100.00 11 8 8 100.00 12 8 8 100.00 13 8 8 100.00 14 8 8 100.00 15 8 8 100.00 16 8 8 100.00 17 8 8 100.00 18 8 8 100.00 19 8 8 100.00 20 8 8 100.00	Daryl E. Trawick CA15  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 10 10 100.00 2 8 8 100.00 3 7 7 100.00 4 6 6 100.00 5 6 6 100.00 6 6 6 100.00 7 6 6 100.00 8 6 6 100.00 9 6 6 100.00 10 6 6 100.00 11 6 6 100.00 12 6 6 100.00 13 6 6 100.00 14 6 6 100.00 15 6 6 100.00 16 6 6 100.00 17 6 6 100.00 18 6 6 100.00 19 6 6 100.00 20 6 6 100.00	Lise S. Walsh CA32  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 8 8 100.00 2 8 8 100.00 3 8 8 100.00 4 8 8 100.00 5 8 8 100.00 6 8 8 100.00 7 8 8 100.00 8 8 8 100.00 9 8 8 100.00 10 8 8 100.00 11 8 8 100.00 12 8 8 100.00 13 8 8 100.00 14 8 8 100.00 15 8 8 100.00 16 8 8 100.00 17 8 8 100.00 18 8 8 100.00 19 8 8 100.00 20 8 8 100.00	Diane V. Ward CA11  Rank Appointments Wins Losses Win Rate % 1 9 9 100.00 2 7 7 100.00 3 6 6 100.00 4 6 6 100.00 5 6 6 100.00 6 6 6 100.00 7 6 6 100.00 8 6 6 100.00 9 6 6 100.00 10 6 6 100.00 11 6 6 100.00 12 6 6 100.00 13 6 6 100.00 14 6 6 100.00 15 6 6 100.00 16 6 6 100.00 17 6 6 100.00 18 6 6 100.00 19 6 6 100.00 20 6 6 100.00

C.Y.A

Why do General Counsel like Big Law firms so much? "It's C.Y.A.", Kurlandski believes. "There hasn't been any reliable data before to track what you're actually getting. So you hire a big firm and you hope. There's nothing wrong with hiring a big firm, as long as you have the data to back up your Attorney choice within it. If the 33rd case is a loss after 32 wins in a row before that Judge, clearly you picked the very best person possible. Having data to back up your hires is the ultimate C.Y.A. At the end of the day, the numbers don't lie and the Attorneys that win more, win more." Is Premonition at the vanguard of a new era of accountability in law? "Every General Counsel in America will eventually be our client", Unwin boasts, "The only question is when."

An Unfair Advantage?

On March 1st 2014, Alvin Benton Esq. won Case #2013-CA-008850-O in Orange County, Florida before Hon. Margaret Schreiber. The analysts at legal analytics firm, Premonition L.L.C. (www.premonition.ai), weren't surprised. "It's the 32nd time in a row that he's won in front of her", CIO, Toby Unwin explained. Can the results of litigation be predicted in advance based solely on the Attorney and who they are appearing in front of? "Not entirely" Unwin explains, "but it has approximately a 30% influence. Over the course of several cases it adds up to significant savings for clients."

Premonition has a unique database that pulls records directly from individual Courthouses and pools them where they can be analyzed. "That in itself is a breakthrough", CEO, Guy Kurlandski explains. "Until now, unless you had a Federal, or appealed case, there was no Central system for searching cases, so you had to find 99% of them by hand at individual court houses around the country. Premonition can search many courts simultaneously." Data like this can produce interesting results.

Using advanced algorithms to spot trends, Kurlandski claims the system usually finds at least one outlier, like Benton, before each Judge. "We had a client in Reno, Nevada. After crunching the data on over 15,000 cases we found an Attorney with 22 straight wins before that Judge. The next Lawyer down was at 7. On closer examination we found a lot of anomalies with that Attorney/Judge pairing... these things are more common than you might think."

How good are Attorneys? "It's a complicated question. They themselves don't know and it differs per Judge." says Unwin. "We've found that peer recognition and billing rate aren't correlated with Courtroom success. The top UK law firms consistently re-hire proven losers because, until now, nobody's been keeping score."

"Alvin Benton is only an Associate at Holland & Knight, yet he's the best Lawyer there for certain Judges. However, if you called the firm and asked for their best Attorney for Judge Schreiber, they'd probably give you a Senior Partner at vastly higher rates who isn't even top 100 before that Judge. It sounds crazy to say, but law firms (that aren't clients) don't even know how good their own people are, let alone the Attorneys and Judges they're up against." Unwin says.

Quote & Insight

"Good Lawyers
Know The Law.
Great Lawyers
Know the Judge."

-Courthouse saying

30.7%
Lawyer/Judge
Pairing Effect

Former Insurance defense attorney, Brett Schlacter, agrees "Facts and law have lost too many times." , "As objective as Judges try to be, at the end of the day they're human and the quality of the Advocate definitely makes a difference."

How are insurers using Premonition? "We believe data driven litigation is a game changer", Guy Carpenter, UK Managing Director, Donald McDonald, explains "It's amazing that law as an industry hasn't had these kind of metrics applied to it before. Better litigators means fewer losses, means lower premiums. It's an exciting area" he continues. "We're also exploring using Premonition's general Court data in areas like Medical Malpractice. 35% of malpractice claims come from repeat litigants, so why not screen them out at the beginning? We're exploring programs with drastically reduced premiums for clients that litigate intelligently." McDonald Continues.

"You have to hire an Attorney anyway, why not hire a good one?" Kurlandski asks. "Many insurers track their internal Counsel results, but have no idea when it comes to outside Counsel, or new geographic areas. Premonition offers what some are calling a very, very unfair advantage in litigation, i.e. putting the right Attorney before the right Judge".

Can Law Firms Recognize Great Barristers?

The Court of Appeals in the United Kingdom has arguably the finest legal minds in the World. Queens Counsel, the elite of their profession debate points of law on the country's most difficult cases. How good are they, and how are they chosen? Solicitors advise their clients on the choice of Barrister to represent them in Court largely on the basis of peer recognition, essentially how good their colleagues perceive them to be.

"Peer recognition is nonsense, it has no correlation whatsoever with Win Rate.", claims Toby Unwin, Director of Premonition LLC, a Florida based legal analytics firm. The company developed an Artificial Intelligence system to mine Big Data for trends and outliers in litigation. Premonition recently completed a study on the performance of Barristers in the Court of Appeals over 3 years with some quite surprising findings. The firm's Web site, www.premonition.ai shows a chart of Barrister's win rates plotted against the number of cases they were hired for. The company actually found a slight negative correlation of -0.1 between win rate and hiring popularity, e.g. a Barrister 20% better than a peer is actually 2% less likely to be hired.

"The market for legal talent is broken", Unwin continues. "Basically no one has ever kept track of who wins and losses. There's no transparency, no accountability." The company developed a computer system that tracks and analyzes cases in courts around the World for clients seeking an edge in litigation. The UK Court of Appeals attracted the firm due to the high level of law practiced, the specialization in pure advocacy and that the English legal system's "cab rank rule" prevents Barristers from cherry picking easy cases. "It's a very pure test of the system in one of the most expensive legal environments in the World. There are huge opportunities in more intelligent Attorney selection." he believes.

"Picking Lawyers by Win Rate is the future of law.", says Ben Wolkov, C.E.O. of Litigas, a U.S. firm that promises guaranteed top 20 Lawyers by their win rate for that particular Judge. "The profession has been able to escape accountability, but big data is bringing transparency. Picking Attorneys by anything other than their win rate no longer makes sense.", he added.

Insights

38%


**Worse Than
Random,
UK Major Law
Firms Choice
of Barristers**

About Premonition

Premonition is an artificial Intelligence system that mines Big Data to find which lawyers usually win before which Judges.

- Compare Law Firm Performance
- Filter Attorney by Case Durations
- The World's Largest Litigation Database
- Unparalleled ability to mine Public Big Data
- \$100M Seed Round - One of the Highest in History
- Over 50 press articles and TV interviews in the last 2 years

The study found that the most popular Barrister, with 41 appearances over 3 years had a 60% win rate, far short of the 77-100% range a number of colleagues had. More worryingly, the 2nd, 3rd and 5th most popular hires were amongst the worst performers, all having win rates in the 20% range. "Firms are failing clients by picking Barristers using peer recognition. Win Rates are the only true indication of ability. It's not unreasonable to ask your Lawyer how much they win and ask to see some numbers to back up their claims." says Unwin. Premonition sees big changes in the litigation market as clients increasingly demand performance metrics.



32 Straight Wins

Alvin Benton Esq.
Before Hon. Margaret
Schreiber Florida 9th
Circuit Court

Are Partners Really Better Than Associates?

Alvin Benton, an Attorney at Holland & Knight in Orlando, Florida has a 97% win rate. He's 100% in front of some Judges and has had a run of 32 consecutive wins before one of them according to legal analytics firm, Premonition L.L.C.(www.premonition.ai). They claim he is one of the best Lawyers in Orange County and that his win rate is better than any other Litigator in that office. "They're mainly timeshare cases and fairly easy to win, but he's still head and shoulders above the industry average win rate of 75% for that case type. He doesn't handle the type of cases our clients have, but he's clearly very talented. Our system is designed to spot outliers like him.", Premonition Director and co-founder, Toby Unwin said.

Benton is only an Associate at Holland & Knight despite a stellar Litigation track record. "Partners at Big Law firms are usually chosen based on the number of hours they bill and the value of clients they bring in. Courtroom prowess is a minor factor." - says Richard Wasserstein, a prominent Miami Attorney and Premonition Board member. "It's a widely held believe that Partners are better than Associates, but we often come across Associates that have higher track records." claims Premonition CEO and co-Founder, Guy Kurlandski. "We're very disciplined here about not holding opinions unless we have the data to back them up, but it occurred to us that no one had ever done a study on this. If Partners aren't selected based on Win Rate, then maybe there was no difference between them? We decided to find out." he continued.

Premonition recently completed a study of the major law firms. Targeting the Miami 11th Circuit Court, one of the busiest in the nation, they looked at data from 2010-October 2014, over 100,000 civil cases. Restricting the survey to just the 20 largest law firms by Attorney count in Florida they were able to extrapolate the first ever ranking of Big Law by their results. From this they looked at the titles of the Litigators identifying 79 Attorneys who handled 1,648 completed cases. There were 52 Partners and 27 Associates, i.e. the Partners handled nearly twice as many cases.

Premonition found a 58.1% win rate for the Associates. The Partners? 59.5%, just 1.4% more. "We often find that in law, you don't necessarily get what you pay for. While there are some Partners at the Big Law firms with phenomenal track records, on average you're paying around 50% more for only a minor increase in performance." Unwin said.

"It could be argued that Partners try the harder cases, but we found there was a lot of cross over on case type within firms. Many Partners will oversee Associates' work and many Associates will work on Partners' cases, so a blending of results is inevitable, but even we were surprised at how close it was." says Kurlandski. Are all Attorneys pretty much the same, does it make no difference who you choose? "Absolutely not. There are vast differences in Attorney performance. Their title and how much you pay them doesn't matter, but if you want to win, you need to select a top performer by case type for your Judge." Said Unwin

Insights

Partners win 1.4%
more than Associates

Insights

**"Over 200 studies have shown that algorithms nearly always beat subjective judgment."
-Superforecasting Court**

How Long Does a Lawsuit Last?

The answer, according to legal analytics firm, Premonition LLC, (www.premonition.ai), very much depends on your choice of Attorney. Premonition recently completed a study of 24,507 divorce cases from 2011-2014 at the Orange County Courthouse in Orlando, Florida. "Divorce cases are useful to compare. While some are undoubtedly more complicated than others, or have more intransigent parties, at the end of the day, they all boil down to filling out 2 forms at the Courthouse. Pretty much everything else is at the discretion of the Attorneys involved. Over thousands of cases these differences get smoothed out and clear patterns emerge", says Toby Unwin, Co-founder & Chief Information Officer of Premonition.

Unwin invented an Artificial Intelligence system that mines Court data for unusual trends and outliers. The system is typically used by General Counsel at big Companies seeking an edge in large litigation portfolios, or high stakes trials. The human element, Premonition claims, is worth an average 30.70% increase in Win Rate, which translates to substantial savings for clients.

Premonition's database of lawsuits is one of the largest in private hands. Its sheer volume of information has enabled them to write database algorithms to solve questions such as:

- Which Attorneys usually Win before which Judges? Premonition claims most Judges have favorite Attorneys, the average Top 20 Lawyer before each Judge has an 80.70% likelihood of winning.
 - Are big law firms better than small firms? Yes, but only by 6.98% and at a substantially higher average billing rate.
 - How does billing rate correlate to Win Rate? Apparently it doesn't, at least not by a significant amount.
-

"We had a client ask us for a family law Attorney", Co-founder & CEO, Guy Kurlandski explained. "It's traditionally not an area we spend a lot of time in because companies don't get divorced." he quipped. "Family law is the Wild West of the Legal profession", Unwin claims, "It has a remarkable lack of precedents, the clients are usually legally unsophisticated and determining Win Rates is highly problematic. Our Artificial Intelligence system finds that there really aren't many clear "winners" in domestic proceedings." Unwin explains. They had a client ask for a "fast lawyer", an unusual request for a company that specializes in finding "Winners".

The analysis showed that it was indeed possible to process divorces quickly, and that even contested cases could be handled in a matter of months, and often were. However, there was a cluster of Attorneys that had consistently high case durations. "Even though it's the Public Record, there's no sign outside Law offices saying "this is my Win Rate and this is how long my cases usually take", says Kurlandski, "Even major corporations are usually litigating blindly, relying on big brand Law Firms that are, on average, only 3.49% better than chance.", he says. Running Attorney analytics is the only way for a consumer to know.


Insights

+3% Correlation
between billing
rate and win rate.

While there were some cases lasting up to 1,800 days, the Lawyers handling them usually did few divorce cases. The firm found an outlier with 5 cases averaging 1,016. The last one had been going for over four and a half years and was set for trial. "It's disappointing that Attorneys like this are allowed to continue practicing", Unwin said. Premonition declined to name the Attorney, but said they would provide the Florida Bar with the information, if requested.

How does the average consumer avoid hiring a clock running Lawyer? "If you can't afford to select an Attorney with analytics, then at lease check your short list at the local Courthouse website, see how long their cases usually run for", Kurlandski recommends.

Average Case Duration
Number of cases



Men Vs. Women

Several studies, such of the Sky Analytics Gender Study white paper by Dr Silvia Hodges-Silverstein have shown that women have traditionally been undervalued in law:

- Women make up only 22% of Partners
- Women tend to be assigned to lower value assignments - 93% male majority teams on 20+ timekeeper projects, vs 81% female majority teams on <5 timekeeper projects.
- Only 59% of female time is actually billed, vs. 66% of male time.
- The study found typically "male" and "female" jobs, i.e. more than a 5% difference in average billings:
 - There were only 4 "Female" jobs: word processing (UTBMS code E103); fact investigation/fact development (L110); depositions (L330); and "other" (P280). By comparison, 177 jobs were "Male" jobs: Analysis/Strategy (TR120); Discovery On-Site Inspections (L360), International Patent Prosecution (PA600), Operations (B200), and Hosting Costs (L651) are most likely to be done by a man.
- In billing, 6% of men billed over \$800 per hour, vs. only 2% of women
- Women Partners earned an average -10% less at large firms and -12% less at small firms.

Premonition took a look at the performance differences between male and female litigators in the major law firms. "We expected to find little difference between the two groups, as we've found before when analyzing factors such as job title, firm size, etc. The results were surprising", said Toby Unwin, Premonition Co- Founder. While more women graduate law school than men, few choose to go into litigation (with the exception of family law, which has large numbers of female practitioners). Women made up only 33.33% of Associates in the study and just 5.88% of Partners. There were disproportionally fewer women in litigation, and even less made it through to Partner. The Win Rate results?

"The women wiped the floor with the men", Unwin explained. "It wasn't even close. It's the biggest factor we've ever tested." As a group, the women beat the men by 67.00% to 57.47%. The breakdown by job title was even more surprising. A previous Premonition study found a mere 1.4% performance improvement from Partners over Associates. Female Associates beat their Male counterparts 60.00% to 57.33%, nearly twice the gap of Associates and Partners in general. The Female Partner results were even more pronounced - 70.19% to 57.50%. The study also found that when the Female Partner results were backed out and Male groups compared, their Partner/ Associate performance fell to -0.14%, i.e. the groups were statistically identical and the only reason Partners as a whole beat Associates was due to the over performance of the Women Partners.

A Double Glass Ceiling?

What explains the difference? "We don't know for sure." Unwin says. "My personal theory is that a "Double Glass Ceiling" exists for Women Litigators. They're generally discouraged from entering Litigation, so only the most enthusiastic do, accounting for the 3% initial performance advantage over their male colleagues as Associates. Once in, it's even tougher to make Partner as a Female Litigator, so only the very best make it, hence the 12.69% advantage in Partners.". Whatever the explanation, it appears that women are highly undervalued in litigation, just the kind of advantage a "Perception-Reality Arbitrage" firm, like Premonition, looks to find.

Insights

WIN RATES

57.50%

Male Partners

70.19%

Female Partners

"The more you charge, the more people are willing to pay, because they feel that money equals skill."

- Doug Kepanis,
Divorce Lawyer

Do You Get What You Pay For In Law?

Savvy purchasers of expensive legal talent will be pleased to know that you do indeed get what you pay for in law. Expensive lawyers and law firms do indeed enjoy higher levels of overall performance than their cheaper rivals. On average spending 100% more on legal fees will produce a performance gain of... 3%.

Top performers are not restricted to Downtown Glass Skyscrapers. In fact, expensive offices and big name law firms are only weakly correlated with Courtroom performance. Premonition discovered that just 7.7% of a typical Judge's Top 20 performers come from Big Law. This is due to the fact that most lawyers work in small firms. About a third of all Judges have no Big Law top performer. This means that a customer will never be able to hire a top performing lawyer from Big Law firms before many Judges, but must instead pick Attorneys based on their individual performance.

This can actually be advantageous, Premonition CEO, Guy Kurlandski explains - "We often find what we refer to as "Strip Mall Superstars", Litigators on the low end of the price scale, some less than \$200 an hour, that rarely lose, at least for certain case types and Judges. Success in the Courtroom is all about knowing who to place where.". It seems all Lawyers are not created equal and big bills don't necessarily equal big results.

The Bottom Line

Simply picking Lawyers by their win rates per case type and judge produces results more than 50% better, and 50% cheaper, than simply hiring a major law firm.



About the author



Toby Unwin Co-founder of Premonition, the only company that analyzes Attorneys by Win Rate and Inventor of the Premonition system. Toby began his career in recruitment, eventually founding NetSearch. The online headhunting firm received 4 offers in the first 27 days, valuing it at \$160M. Toby Unwin was inaugurated as The Republic of Austria's Honorary Consul in Orlando. Unwin serves as Chairman of The Municipal Guarantee Fund, a non-profit organization which has provided over \$3Bn capital to financial institutions and won Fund of the Year. He is the bestselling author of several books, 10 patents and a video series teaching commercial property investment, owning developments throughout Florida. He sits on numerous boards such as Maximum Life Medical Research Foundation and the Central Florida Ballet. An accomplished pilot, he holds a World airspeed record. He speaks five languages and studied International Commercial Law at Kings College London.



TAKE A TOUR

<http://premonition.ai/take-a-tour/>

info@premonition.ai
(305)330-1056

See Premonition in action:

Follow us at <https://www.linkedin.com/company/premonition-analytics> for insider legal news



www.Premonition.ai



- Litigation History
- Case Types
- Legal Representation
- Attorney/Firm performance
- Case Duration
(overall, case type, judge, client)

Client Report: Dade County

Case Type Pie Chart

Case Type	Percentage
Comm Premises Liability	62%
Other Negligence	7%
Product Liability	3%
Auto Negligence (Greater Than \$15,000)	2%
Rpmf - Homestead (\$50,001 - \$249,999)	2%
Discrimination - Employment Or Other	1%
3 - Contract & Indebtedness	1%
22 - Products Liability	0%
106 - Negl Prem Liab Com	11%
Contract & Indebtedness (Greater Than \$15,000)	4%
107 - Negl Others	2%
10 - Auto Negligence	2%
Rpmf - Non-homestead (\$50,001 - \$249,999)	2%
Rpmf - Non-homestead (\$250,000 Or More)	1%
Rpmf - Homestead (\$250,000 Or More)	1%



- Litigation Experience
- Case Types
- Client Representation
- Performance (overall, case type, judge, client)
- Case Duration (overall, case type, judge, client)

Case Type Report

Case Type	Cases	Closed Cases	Win Count	Loss Count	Average Case Duration	Outcome
109 - Mtg P Corn 550,001 - 554,999	1	0	0	0	0	0%
111 - Mtg P Res 50-599, 000	14	0	0	0	0	0%
112 - Mtg P Res 550,001 - 554,999	256	21	2	19	78	10%
113 - Mtg P Res 555,000 or More	128	6	1	5	37	17%

Judge Report

Judge
Abby Cynamon
Antonio Accolla
Antonio Marto

Top Clients List

Client	Cases	Closed Cases	Win Count	Average Case Duration	Outcome
360 Mortgage Group LLC	1	0	0	0	0%
Alb I LLC	2	0	0	0	0%
Alb II LLC	1	0	0	0	0%
Alb Wnt LLC	1	0	0	0	0%
Alb C-Op LLC	1	0	0	0	0%
Alb ELI OIGONALIER, Olivia J	1	0	0	0	0%

Lawyer Information

Name	Email	Domain	Bar Number	Title	Firm
Jonathan van Wassen	j.vanwassen@profbox.com	profbox.com	29235		Robertson, Anschutz & Samp, Schinfeld, P.L.

Litigation History

Cases	Closed Cases	Win Count	Loss Count	Average Case Duration	Outcome
2129	249	55	185	1508	23%

Plaintiff

Cases	Closed Cases	Win Count	Loss Count	Average Case Duration	Outcome
2129	249	55	185	1508	23%

Analytics




Judge Report

Premonition's Judge Report contains in-depth analytics on the outcomes of attorneys in front of a judge. It is used by General Counsel to identify the most effective and efficient attorneys in front of a judge. Law Firms use this report for gathering judicial intelligence, hiring co-counsel and identifying the strength of opposing counsel.

- Attorney Performance by case type
- Case Durations by case type and attorney
- Plaintiff/Defendant outcomes by case type
- Attorney Experience in front of a judge
- Performance Outliers

Useful for: Law Firms, General Counsel, Claims Managers, Litigation Finance



Law Firm Report

Premonition's Law Firm Report provides in-depth analysis of a Law Firm's performance and efficiency. General Counsel use this during their Panel Counsel Review process to identify top-performing firms. Law Firm's use this report to track their litigation experience/performance and to improve their business development by pitching to their strengths.

- Litigation History and Experience
- Client Representation
- Overall Firm Performance
- Specific Attorney Performance
- Average Case Durations (overall, case type, client)
- Case Types
- Benchmarking to Competitors

Useful for: Law Firms, General Counsel, Claims Managers



Court Report

Premonition's Court Report reveals the quantity of cases and performance of all the lawyers in a particular court. This report is used to identify top performing attorneys or identify potential venues in which to file a case.

- Attorney Experience (Number of Cases in a Court)
- Attorney Performance by Case Type
- Benchmarking to peer performance

Useful for: Law Firms, General Counsel, Claims Managers, Litigation Finance



Top Litigants Report

Premonition's Top Litigants Report ranks companies by volume of litigation in a court, state, or country. Law Firms use this report to identify potential hidden clients. Litigation Finance Firms use this to identify potential leads.

Useful for: Law Firms, General Counsel, Claims Managers, Litigation Finance